Ordered that Mr John Nuthall bee allowed the abouesaid Charge Liber BB of 240th tob:

George Read plt
Mary Bateman the
Exe<sup>trix</sup> of Jno Bateman
Esc dec<sup>d</sup> defend<sup>t</sup>

this Cause retracted—

Pope Aluey pft: James Veitch deft this Cause discontinued—

John Halfhead pft: \ In this Cause last Prouin<sup>all</sup> Court it was or-Jone Niccolgutt def<sup>t</sup> \ dered that the Comm<sup>rs</sup> of Caluert County Court should to this Court send the reasons of the setting ffree the said Jone Niccolgutt but noe such reasons sent—

It is now againe Ordered that the Comm<sup>rs</sup> of Caluert County Court which were then p<sup>r</sup>sent in Court att the setting ffree of Jone Nicculgutt then Seruant to Cuthbert ffenwick Doe informe this Court of uide pet<sup>r</sup> the whole proceedings therein att the next Prouinciall Court to be held on the first twesday in December next and giue the reasons of the soe setting ffree the said Jone Niccolgutt and that she doe allsoe then appeare to make her defence therein.

Further Ordered that the plt. giue this Order unto the Sherriffe and that the said sherriffe of that County deliuer it unto the abouesaid Comm<sup>rs</sup> in time of Court setting—

Mary Bateman plt: Sherriffe returnes writt (non est inuentus)

Aug Herman deft

Nicholas Gwyther ptt: This Cause respited last Prouin<sup>all</sup> Court [p. 331] Thomas Wynne defend<sup>t</sup> as in fo: 308—The ptt: againe sues as in former pet<sup>n</sup> fo: 275—The def<sup>t</sup> being indispos'd in Body which would not admitt his appearance att Court, desired Cott: William Euans to declare as much to the Gouerno<sup>r</sup> & Councell Whereupon it is Or- uide 333: dered this Cause be deferr'd untill tomorrow morning—

Hugh Stanley plt: the plt: sues as in pet<sup>n</sup> folio 319-The def<sup>t</sup> Tho: Paggett def<sup>t</sup> neither Confessing or denying the matter of ffact, for his plea, Demureth in Law, for that the words auerred in the plt<sup>s</sup> Declaracon are not acconable and thereupon prayeth the Court uide pet<sup>n</sup> to giue Judgm<sup>t</sup> whither he ought to answere—

The Question is, And put the Vote-Whither or noe to Call a man Knaue a Cheating Knaue and immediately to say that he would proue Order 333 him a Cheating Knaue be acconable yea or noe—

M<sup>r</sup> Brookes that the words are acconable Cott: Euans, idem if he suffers by it—